

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,685	05/09/2006	Gyoo-Jong Bae	P-0778	3663
34610 7590 68/01/2008 KED & ASSOCIATIES, LLP P.O. Box 221200			EXAMINER	
			DUDA, RINA I	
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/578.685 BAE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Rina I. Duda 2837 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 May 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/9/06

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/578,685

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Figures 1, 2A, and 2B should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected
drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action
to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled
"Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct
any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the
applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office
action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hwang et al (US Publication 20020062652).

Claim 1, Hwang et al teaches an apparatus and method for controlling a linear compressor comprising applying a firing angle to Tr2 at the time of compression and suction according to a load on the compressor 120.

Claim 4, Hwang et al describes decreasing the firing angle based on a high temperature load (maximum current exceeded).

Application/Control Number: 10/578,685

Art Unit: 2837

Claim 5, Hwang et al describes detecting the load of the linear compressor, determining if the load is higher or lower than the standard load, and controlling the stroke based on the findings.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 2-3 and 6-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hwang et al (US Publication 2002/0062652) and Yoo et al (US Publication 2002/0090304).
- Claims 2, 7, 9, and 12, the difference between Hwang et al and the claims is that Hwang et al fails to describe the suction and compression processing being determined based on a phase angle variance. However, Yoo et al describes an apparatus for controlling a compressor comprising detecting the voltage and current of the compressor and determining the phase difference between the voltage and current and controlling the compressor based on said difference as described in paragraph 0034. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the difference between the measured current and the measured voltage to control the compressor. since said method would allow the compressor have optimum efficiency even in a maximum load state.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,685

Art Unit: 2837

Claims 3, 8, and 10, Hwang et al describes when the strokes are varied with respect to the distance between the upper and lower points of the piston see figure 3 and corresponding description.

Claim 6, Hwang et al and Yoo et al describes determining suction and compression processing based on the maximum current sensed by R2 (Hwang et al) and the phase difference determined by Yoo et al.

Claim 11, Hwang et al uses microcomputer 700 for controlling the firing of TRIAC Tr2 based on the current and voltage values and memory means as shown in figure 2.

Claim 13, Hwang et al describes using an alternating current from supply 110 to drive the compressor 120.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rina I. Duda whose telephone number is 571-272-2062. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lincoln Donovan can be reached on 571-272-1988. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/578,685 Page 5

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Rina I Duda/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2837